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Mapping the Epigenetic Basis of Complex Traits

Sandra Cortijo,1,† René Wardenaar,2*, Maria Colomé-Tatché,2,‡ Arthur Gilly,3§ Mathilde Etcheverry,1 Karine Labadie,1 Erwann Caillieux,4 Frédéric Hospital,4 Jean-Marc Aury,3 Patrick Wincker,3,4,6 François Roudier,1 Ritsert C. Jansen,2 Vincent Colot,1∥ Frank Johannes2∥

Quantifying the impact of heritable epigenetic variation on complex traits is an emerging challenge in population genetics. Here, we analyze a population of isogenic Arabidopsis lines that segregate experimentally induced DNA methylation changes at hundreds of regions across the genome. We demonstrate that several of these differentially methylated regions (DMRs) act as bona fide epigenetic quantitative trait loci (QTLepi), accounting for 60 to 90% of the heritability for two complex traits, flowering time and primary root length. These QTLepi are reproducible and can be subjected to artificial selection. Many of the experimentally induced DMRs are also variable in natural populations of this species and may thus provide an epigenetic basis for Darwinian evolution independently of DNA sequence changes.

Methylation of cytosines is an epigenetic mark involved in the silencing of transposable elements (TEs) and genes (1). Despite its functional conservation across many species (2, 3), intraspecific surveys have revealed widespread variation in DNA methylation patterns within populations (4–6). Estimates in the model plant Arabidopsis thaliana indicate that heritable changes in the methylation status of clusters of cytosines, which could be functionally more relevant than individual cytosines (7), arise spontaneously at rates similar to that of DNA sequence mutations (8, 9). A key challenge in population genetics is to show that epigenetic variants exist independently of cis- or trans-acting DNA sequence changes, are stably transmitted over many sexual generations, and are associated with heritable phenotypic variation (10). Addressing this challenge using natural populations continues to pose major technical difficulties.

To overcome these difficulties, we established in Arabidopsis a population of so-called epigenetic recombinant inbred lines (epiRILs) that have almost identical DNA sequences but segregate many differences in DNA methylation (11). To derive this population, a plant homozygous for the recessive ddm1-2 mutation was first crossed with a near-isogenic wild-type (WT) individual. The ddm1-2 mutation leads to a loss of DNA methylation and silencing over transposable elements (TEs) mainly, with potential consequences

---

**Fig. 1. Interval mapping results.** (A) QTL mapping profiles for two independent flowering time measurements (FT1 and FT2), as well as their average (combined). FT1 was measured in the greenhouse and FT2 in a field experiment. (B) Percentages of phenotypic variance and of broad-sense heritability (H²) explained by the peak QTL markers (MM94). Error bars, ±1 standard error of the estimate. (C) QTL mapping profiles for two independent primary root length measurements (RL1 and RL2), as well as their average (combined). Both RL1 and RL2 were measured in a climate-controlled growth chamber. (D) Same as in (B), but for RL.
on the expression of neighboring genes (12), but transposition events are relatively rare (13). Importantly, some of the DNA methylation and expression changes induced by ddm1-2 are inherited independently of the mutation (14, 15). A single F1 DDM1/ddm1-2 individual was therefore backcrossed to the WT parental line, and after selection of F2 progeny homozygous for the DDM1 allele, the epiRILs (N > 500) were selfed for six generations (fig. S1).

Phenotypic analysis revealed significant broad-sense heritability in the epiRILs, with estimates ranging from about 0.05 to 0.4 (11, 16, 17). Theoretical predictions indicate that these heritability values are consistent with a small number of parentally derived quantitative trait loci (QTL) (17, 18). We hypothesized that these QTL are caused by stably inherited DNA methylation changes originating from the ddm1-2 mutant founder parent. Indeed, a survey of the DNA methylomes of a selected set of 123 epiRILs identified hundreds of parentally differentially methylated regions (DMRs) showing Mendelian segregation patterns (19).

Using an informative subset of 126 of these DMRs as physical markers, we were able to derive a genetic map covering 81.9% of the total genome (19).

Here, we used this map in conjunction with classical linkage analysis to search for epigenetic quantitative trait loci (QTL) underlying complex traits in the epiRIL population.

We interval-mapped (20) two highly heritable (and weakly correlated) complex traits, flowering time (FT1) and primary root length (RL1) (Fig. 1, fig. S2, and table S1). The FT1 phenotype was obtained in a greenhouse experiment (11), whereas RL1 was measured in a climate-controlled growth chamber (21). Linkage analysis detected highly significant QTL for FT1 on chromosome 1 (chr 1) [40.59 cM; logarithm of the odds ratio for linkage (LOD) = 8.72], chr 4 (30 cM; LOD = 4.43), and chr 5 (41.73 cM; LOD = 8.53) (Fig. 1A and table S2). For these three QTL, the plants that inherited the WT epigenotype at the peak marker flowered significantly later than those with the ddm1-2 inherited epigenotype (fig. S3A). The combined additive effects of these QTL explained 86.78% of the broad-sense heritability for the trait and 51.14% of the total phenotypic variance (Fig. 1B and table S3). All three QTL were confirmed using independent flowering time data (FT2) collected in a field experiment (17) (Fig. 1, A and B, and table S3), which indicates that these QTL are robust across environmental settings. For RL1, we detected significant QTL on chr 1 (38 cM; LOD = 4.9), chr 2 (6.47 cM; LOD = 5.28), and chr 4 (50 cM; LOD = 2.65) (Fig. 1C and table S2). The WT-inherited epigenotype at the peak QTL markers was associated with longer primary roots compared with the ddm1-2 inherited epigenotype (fig. S3B). The combined additive effect of these QTL explained 59.36% of the estimated broad-sense heritability and 32.69% of the total phenotypic variance (Fig. 1D and table S4). Again, all three QTL were confirmed with data from a replicate phenotyping experiment (RL2) (Fig. 1, C and D, and table S4).

Our linkage mapping results indicate that the broad-sense heritability in the epiRILs is mainly due to causal variants originating from the pa-

### Table A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>QTL</th>
<th>Inserted sequence</th>
<th>Chr Start Stop</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Start Stop</th>
<th>Number of epiRILs shared</th>
<th>Phenotypic effect (p-value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FT1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 15908858 17261949</td>
<td>ATENSPM3</td>
<td>16836944 16837131</td>
<td>5 / 52 2 / 27 7 / 79</td>
<td>0.0076 5.20E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RL1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13617271 17523612</td>
<td>ATENSPM3</td>
<td>16836944 16837131</td>
<td>5 / 52 2 / 27 7 / 79</td>
<td>0.023 0.00012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RL1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13617271 17523612</td>
<td>ATENSPM3 / HELITRON</td>
<td>17407431 17410302</td>
<td>2 / 52 0 / 27 2 / 79</td>
<td>0.75 0.00012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RL1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8906583 11824662</td>
<td>ATCOPIA93</td>
<td>9649457 9651158</td>
<td>13 / 52 9 / 27 22 / 79</td>
<td>0.073 0.020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RL1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8906583 11824662</td>
<td>ATCOPIA78</td>
<td>10736583 10740627</td>
<td>18 / 52 8 / 27 26 / 79</td>
<td>0.99 0.020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Figure 2

**Fig. 2. Ruling out ddm1-2-derived TE insertions as a cause for the epiRIL QTL.** (A) Resequencing of 52 epiRILs and targeted PCR of an additional 27 epiRILs detected four shared insertions in the RL and FT QTL intervals (coordinates are according to TAIR10). Phenotypic analysis testing for the effect of TEs and peak QTL markers (P values from multiple regression models (table S8)). (B) Validation of the RL QTL by selective epigenotyping of 82 short- and long-root F3 progeny obtained using the crossing scheme shown. (C) Quantitative PCR analysis of McrBC-digested DNA of tail-selected samples was used to determine DNA methylation levels at the peak markers MM91, MM330, and MM694 on chr 1, 2, and 4, respectively (right panel). We used marker MM330 on chr 2 instead of the peak marker MM240. These markers are in tight linkage, but MM330 was easier to assay by PCR. Error bars, ±1 SEM. (D) Results of PCR with primer pairs designed to amplify one end of the element and its flanking sequence. (Bottom) Results of PCR with primer pairs designed to amplify the WT sequence. Positive control: epiRIL 55.
rental generation and not from later generations of inbreeding. To examine the possibility that these parentally derived causal variants are transposable element (TE) insertions that occurred in the \textit{ddm1-2} parental line rather than DMRs, we resequenced a representative sample of 52 of the 123 epiRILs (tables S5 and S6). Our analysis revealed, in addition to several nonshared TE insertions, a total of four shared TE insertions in the RL and FT QTL confidence intervals (Fig. 2A, fig. S4, and table S6): two shared TE insertions in the chr 1 interval and two in the chr 4 interval. We were able to confirm the shared TE insertions using targeted polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assays in an additional 27 epiRILs (Fig. 2, A and D, and tables S5 to S7).

However, further analysis revealed that shared TE insertions were not consistently inherited from the \textit{ddm1-2} parent (fig. S4 and table S6). Thus, we found that the ATCOPIA78 insertion on chr 4 lies in an interval of WT origin and is present in about one-third of the epiRILs, which indicates that this insertion occurred in the F1 individual rather than in the \textit{ddm1-2} parent. Similarly, the two ATENSPM3 insertions on chr 1 lie in intervals of \textit{ddm1-2} origin but are absent in some epiRILs with this epigenotype, suggesting that they either occurred in the parental \textit{ddm1-2} line, with excisions in some epiRILs, or else arose in the F1 individual. Finally, the ATCOPIA93 insertion on chr 4 lies in an interval that is of \textit{ddm1-2} origin in some epiRILs and of WT origin in others, reflecting a highly dynamic inheritance pattern. Attempts to associate these shared TE insertions with phenotypes revealed a significant association for ATENSPM3 (chr 1) with root length and flowering time (FT, \(P = 0.0076\); RL, \(P = 0.023\)) (Fig. 2A and table S8A) and a borderline significant effect for the ATCOPIA93 (chr 4) insertion on primary root length (\(P = 0.073\)). However, phenotypic effects were much weaker than those of the peak QTL markers (Fig. 2A and table S8, B and C), which implies that these shared TE insertions are unlikely causal.

To support this conclusion, we crossed a new pair of WT and \textit{ddm1-2} founder plants (Fig. 2B) (21) and selfed the F1 to select a single DDM1/DDM1 F2 individual that was “epi-heterozygote” for all three QTL peak markers on chr 1, 2, and 4 (21). After an additional selfing, we selected F3 progeny from the long and short extremes of the RL distribution. DNA methylation analysis confirmed the association of short and long primary roots with the \textit{ddm1-2}-like and WT methylation states at the

\[ \text{Fig. 3. DNA methylation profiles of candidate DMRs in the epiRIL QTL intervals. (A and B) Location and annotation of candidate DMRs detected for FT (A) and RL (B). The top part of the rectangles shows the DNA methylation profile of the WT and \textit{ddm1-2} parents, respectively (U, unmethylated; I, intermediate DNA methylation; M, high-level DNA methylation). The DNA methylation profiles of the epiRILs are indicated below and are ordered according to the epigenotype of the peak marker [from WT (top) to \textit{ddm1-2} (bottom)]. The bottom part of the rectangles shows the annotations that overlap with the DMRs (GP, gene promoters; GB, gene bodies; TE, transposable element sequences; IR, intergenic regions). A schematic representation of each chromosome is plotted above each rectangle. (C) Number of candidate DMRs detected for each QTL interval (values inside circles) and the number of unique annotations (values outside circles) with which they overlap. DMRs can overlap multiple annotations (tables S12 and S13). Colors and abbreviations are as in (A).} \]
A Single Gene Affects Both Ecological Divergence and Mate Choice in Drosophila

Henry Chung, David W. Loehlin, Héloise D. Dufour, Kathy Vaccararo, Jocelyn G. Millar, Sean B. Carroll

Evolutionary changes in traits involved in both ecological divergence and mate choice may produce reproductive isolation and speciation. However, there are few examples of such dual traits, and their genetic and molecular bases of their evolution have not been identified. We show that methyl-branched cuticular hydrocarbons (mbCHCs) are a dual trait that affects both desiccation resistance and mate choice in Drosophila serrata. We identify a fatty acid synthase mFAS (CG3524) responsible for mbCHC production in Drosophila and find that expression of mFAS is undetectable in oenocytes (cells that produce CHCs) of a closely related, desiccation-sensitive species, D. birchii, due in part to multiple changes in cis-regulatory sequences of mFAS. We suggest that ecologically influenced changes in the production of mbCHCs have contributed to reproductive isolation between the two species.

The evolution of traits with dual roles in ecological divergence and mate choice could cause populations to become reproductively isolated through local adaptation (1, 2). However, the direct contribution of ecological divergence to speciation is uncertain, in part because relatively few systems have been investigated experimentally, and the genes affecting dual traits have not been identified. Insect cuticular hydrocarbons (CHCs) are potential dual traits (3). CHCs seal the cuticle, protecting against desiccating environments (4), and can also act as pheromones that influence mate choice and mating success (5). Whereas specific CHC molecules act as pheromones (6, 7), no specific CHC or class of CHCs has been demonstrated to be involved directly in desiccation resistance.

One class of CHCs, methyl-branched CHCs (mbCHCs), which have melting points above ambient temperature, are hypothesized to help maintain a barrier against evaporative water loss (8). D. serrata, a habitat generalist found outside of and on the fringes of the rainforest on the east coast of Australia, is relatively desiccation resistant and produces relatively large amounts of mbCHCs (29% of all CHCs) (Fig. 1A). In contrast, its close relative D. birchii, a habitat specialist found exclusively in the humid rainforest, is ex-
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